

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,839	08/19/2003	Keith W. Rosenau	85939.000677	4904	
23387	7590 09/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
	Stephen B. Salai, Esq.			HA, NGUYEN T	
	Harter, Secrest & Emery LLP 1600 Bausch & Lomb Place			PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, N	Rochester, NY 14604-2711				

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,839	ROSENAU, KEITH W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nguyen T Ha	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	DEDLY IS SET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTHES FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical fit the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the first of the first of the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 June 20</u> 05					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6,21 and 22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
_						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	<u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	•					
	THE Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of Ionn't 10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<u> </u>	cuments have been received in A	· ·				
·	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received				
See the attached detailed Office action is	or a list of the certified copies flot					
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0905</u>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,839

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7-8, 12-14 and 29-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 12-14 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thoma (US 5,177,662).

Regarding claim 1, Thoma discloses a sensor (10) comprising:

- an elongate first conductor (12);
- an elongate second conductor (13); and
- a non-conductive web/dielectric (11) intermediate the first polymeric conductor and the second polymeric conductor to maintain a substantially fixed separation distance between the first and the second polymeric conductor (figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,839

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claim 2, Thoma discloses at least one of the first and the second polymeric conductors has one of a rectangular (figure 1).

Regarding claim 3, Thoma discloses the first and the second polymeric conductors are directly bonded to the non-conductive web (figure 1).

Regarding claim 7, Thoma discloses the first polymeric conductor and the second polymeric conductor are embedded in a non-conductive polymer (figure 1).

Regarding claim 8, Thoma discloses a non-conductive web provides a maximum and minimum separation of the first and second polymeric conductors (figure 1).

Regarding claim 12, Thoma further discloses a non-conductive body embedding the first and second polymeric conductors (figure 1).

Regarding claim 13, Thoma disclose the non conductive body has one of a rectangular (figure 1)

Regarding claim 14, Thoma discloses the non-conductive body is integral with the web (figure 2).

Regarding claim 29, Thoma discloses a capacitive sensor (21), comprising a conductor (24) embedded within a non-conductive polymeric body (22), a cross sectional periphery of the polymeric conductor substantially defined by the body (figure 2).

Regarding claim 30, Thoma discloses the polymeric body defines at least a portion of a weather-seal (figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,839

Art Unit: 2831

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

With respect to claims 9-11, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a sensor further comprising a secondary conductor in at least one of the first polymeric conductor and the second polymeric conductor.

5. Claims 15-20, 23-28 and 31-37 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claims 15-20, and 23-28 the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of an elongate capacitive sensor for installation about an opening in a motor vehicle, the opening having at least one corner, the sensor comprising:

- a one-piece extruded non conducting body; and
- the body configured to substantially maintain a nominal separation
 distance between the first polymeric conductor and the second polymeric
 conductor after installation about the corner.

With respect to claims 31-33, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a method manufacturing a capacitive sensor for installation about a motor vehicle opening having at least one corner, the method comprising steps of forming a web configured to substantially maintain the separation distance upon installation about the corner.

With respect to claims 34-37, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a capacitive sensor comprising: a web and first and second polymeric conductor defining a radius of curvature and the web maintaining a substantially fixed separation distance between the first and the second polymeric conductor along the radius of curvature.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-1974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,839 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen T. Ha

September 10, 2005